



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/574,720

09/05/2007

Gunter Fischer

536-009.025

4983

4955

7590

12/24/2009

WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224
MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

WONG, LINDA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/24/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/574,720	Applicant(s) FISCHER, GUNTER	
	Examiner LINDA WONG	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 23-29 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 9/5/2007. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Objections

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the predetermined rule" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1 and 2 recite the limitation "... on the part of the transmitter ...". The specification discloses Fig. 4 is the receiver and associated components in the receiver.

Art Unit: 2611

Fig. 3 shows the transmitter. The specification fails to disclose a transceiver. The specification fails to disclose the transmitting part and the components contained in this portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,5-12,17,20,22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Richards et al (US Patent No.: 6950485)

Claim 1, Richards et al discloses

“a first encoding step, in which a pulse group which is formed from a predetermined number of individual pulses in such a way that the individual pulses partially overlap in respect of time after the pulse forming operation is encoded in dependence on values of a random number sequence” (Fig. 1b, label code generator produces codes with timing offset commands. The codes are passed to the pulse timing generator for pulse position modulation. (Fig. 1a, label precision timing generator and Fig. 1b same label.) Col. 7, lines 40-45 discloses the code generator supplies pseudo-random time offsets. Fig. 21c shows the areas where overlapping occurs and non-overlap in time.)

“a correlation step in which correlation of a reception signal with a signal pattern is effected” (Fig. 1b, label 136 for correlating the reception signal (label 128) and the template generated from the code genitor and precision timing generator (labels 120,112,132).)

“wherein the signal pattern corresponds to the whole pulse group to be expected when using the same values of the random number sequence” (Col. 7, lines 15-20 discloses the template generator generates a signal with a shape that matches the shape of the received signal. Fig. 1a shows the transmitter is coded with the sequence or timing offset generated from the code generator to produce a transmit signal. Since the template generator generates a signal with the same shape of the received signal and the received or transmit signal is modulated with a code sequence as produced by the code generator, then the template generator would generate a signal with the shape of the random sequence and data signal. Col. 7, lines 40-45 discloses the code generator supplies pseudo-random time offsets or different codes. Fig. 3 shows the transceiver.)

Claim 5, Richards et al discloses “the first encoding step the individual pulses are phase-modulated in dependence on the respectively current value of the random number sequence.” (Col. 7, lines 40-67 discloses the code generator produces PN codes, wherein the codes are used by the precision timing to form pulses. (Fig. 3, label 102,120) The phase of the pulses would depend on the PN codes so to implement pulse position modulation.)

Claim 6, Richards et al discloses “the transmitter additionally effects modulation of the spacing in respect of time of mutually successive pulse groups (first spacing modulation).” (Col. 6, lines 47-65 discloses pulse position modulation, wherein the timing or spacing of the pulses is affected by the code generator. (Col. 7))

Claim 7, Richards et al discloses “the first spacing modulation operation is effected in such a way that the spectral energy distribution of signals emanating from the transmitter does not exceed predetermined limit values.” (Col. 6, lines 40-67 discloses the signal to noise ratio, whererin the signal to noise ratio measures the spectral energy distribution of signals in the transmitter, depends on the number of pulses and the timing must be stable and accurate over the entire integration time. The signal to noise ratio must be maintained by generating greater precision.) Although Richards et al fails to disclose a threshold for comparing the signal to noise ratio, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a threshold to determine whether the SNR is good and thus maintaining the SNR.

Claim 9, Richards et al discloses “the transmitter and the receiver select the same random sequence from a number of random number sequences and the first encoding step is used at the same time for channel encoding.” (Fig.3, label 102 is passed to the precision timing, wherein the output is passed to 132 of the receiver.)

Claim 10, Richards et al discloses “the transmitter effects modulation of the spacing in respect of time of the individual pulses of the pulse group from each other in dependence on values of the random number sequence (second spacing modulation).” (Col. 6, lines 47-65 discloses pulse position modulation, wherein the timing or spacing of the pulses is affected by the code generator. Col. 7, lines 40-45 discloses the code generator supplies pseudo-random time offsets.)

Claim 13, Richards et al discloses “the predetermined rule for encoding of a bit value provides for inverting or non-inverting all individual pulses of a pulse group on the respective bit value.” (Fig. 3, label code generator and timing generator for encoding the bit values with the code generated from the generator. Col. 7, lines 40-45 discloses the code generator outputs PN sequence, wherein the sequence will dictate inverting or non-inverting the pulses.)

Claim 11, Richards et al discloses “the transmitter and the receiver effect synchronization of the random number sequence prior to the commencement of information transmission.” (Fig. 3, label code generator output is sent to the precision timing generator, wherein the timing generator and code generator controls the template generator used for correlation. Since the code generator and precision timing generator affects the pulses and its timing, synchronization of the transmitter and receiver (as shown in Fig.3) would be affected. Col. 7, lines 40-45 discloses the code generator outputs random codes.)

Claim 14, Richards et al discloses “two bit values in a pulse group are transmitted, wherein a first bit value is encoded in a first predetermined number

of individual pulses and a second bit value is encoded in the remaining number of individual pulses.” (Col. 1, line 65-Col. 2, line 10 discloses each data bit time position usually modulates many of the transmitted pulses. This yields a modulated, coded timing signal that comprises a train of identically shaped pulses for each single data bit. In pulse position modulation, each pulse transmitted is varied slightly from the predetermined pulse to pulse interval time. This indicates each bit is modulated with a pulse group and each group varies slightly in time.)

Claim 17, Richards et al discloses

“a pulse generator which is adapted to deliver individual pulses at a predeterminable time spacing from each other” (Fig. 3, label pulser for generating a code according to the timing set by the precision timing generator.)

“a code generator which is adapted to deliver random signals dependent on the values of a random number sequence” (Fig. 3, label 102 and Col. 7, lines 40-45 discloses the code generator outputs PN sequence, wherein the sequence will dictate inverting or non-inverting the pulses.)

“an encoding unit which is adapted to encode an individual pulse delivered or to be delivered by the pulse generator in dependence on the current random signal” (Fig. 1a, label precision timing generator and Fig. 1b same label.) Col. 7, lines 40-45 discloses the code generator supplies pseudo-random time offsets. Fig. 21c shows the areas where overlapping occurs and non-overlap in time.)

“a control unit which is connected to the pulse generator and which is adapted to actuate the pulse generator at predeterminable moments in time for the delivery of a pulse group with a predetermined number of encoded individual pulses at predetermined time spacing from each other.” (Fig. 3, label 108 sets the timing of the timing generator so the timing generator can deliver pulse group with the timing spacing set by the timing generator and the code generator.)

Claim 18, Richards et al discloses “the control unit is adapted to actuate the pulse generator to effect modulation of the spacing in respect of time of successive pulse groups” (Fig. 3, label 108 controls the timing generator which controls the pulser, wherein the precision timing generator modulates the input data (Fig. 1b) with a timing offset set by the code generator. Col. 1, line 65-Col. 2, line 10 discloses pulse position modulation and pulse groups.)

Claim 19, Richards et al discloses “the control unit controls the first spacing modulation in such a way that the spectral energy distribution of signals emanating from the transmitter does not exceed predetermined limit values.” (Fig. 3, label time base controls the timing generator so to ensure long term stable operation. The time based helps create pulses of short duration and controlled pulse-to-pulse intervals, which indicates the spectral energy of distribution of the transmission signal would be affected.) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a threshold to ensure long term stable operation based on design choice.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 20, Richards et al discloses “the first spacing modulation is effected in dependence on the random number sequence.” (Fig. 3, label code generator generates a random number sequence. (Col. 7) and the precision timing generator performs modulation or generates timing pulses according or depending on the random sequence.)

Claim 22, Richards et al discloses “the control unit is adapted to actuate the pulse generator for modulation of the time spacing of the individual pulses of the signal pulse group from each other in dependence on values of the random number sequence.” (Col. 6, lines 47-65 discloses pulse position modulation, wherein the timing or spacing of the pulses is affected by the code generator. (Col. 7) Col. 7 discloses the code generator produces a random number sequence.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards et al as applied to claim 1, in view of Chan (US Patent No.: 6925130)

Claim 2, Richards et al discloses an encoder (Fig. 3, labels 102, and 120 for performing encoding) but fails to disclose the recited limitation.

Chan discloses “a second encoding step prior to, during or after the first encoding step, in which at least one bit value to be transmitted as information in the pulse group is encoded in accordance with a predetermined encoding rule.” (Fig. 3, label 306 shows the composition of the encoder, wherein a first and second encoder is found within the encoder receiving the timing and mode control logic and encoding the transmit data with the information. The control logic determines the encoding type or rule.) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have two encoders as disclosed by Chan in the encoder disclosed by Richards et al so to reduce transmission emission.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards et al as applied to claim 1, in view of Roberts (US Patent No.: 20060166619)

Claim 12,

Richards et al fails to disclose the recited limitation.

Roberts discloses “the transmitter transmits to the receiver a training sequence of pulse groups, that is know to the receiver.” (paragraph 275 discloses transmitting a preamble with known sequence.) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate transmission of a preamble as disclosed by Roberts in Richards et al so to provide the receiver with information needed for efficient decoding of the transmitted signal.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards et al as applied to claim 1.

Claim 15, Richards et al discloses “wherein to ascertain the transmitted bit values on the part of the receiver in the correlation step, correlation of the reception signal with four signal patterns to be expected is effected.” (Fig. 3, label template generator outputs a template according to the code generated and timing generator. The template is correlated, label 136, with the received signal.) Although Richards fails to disclose four signal patterns in the template, the pattern of the template would depend on the code generator and can have four signal patterns based on design choice.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards et al as applied to claim 1, in view of Yamaguchi (US Publication No.:20040179580).

Claim 16, Yamaguchi discloses “wherein a current value of a parameter which dependent on the instantaneous transmission conditions is ascertained and the number of individual pulses of the pulse group is determined in dependence on the current value.” (paragraph 70 discloses generating a code based on the channel impulse response, wherein channel impulse response is a value or an indication dependent on the transmission conditions.) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate using the

Art Unit: 2611

channel impulse response to generate a code word as disclosed by Yamaguchi in Richards et al so to reduce noise.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards et al as applied to claim 1, in view of Richards et al (US Publication No.: 20030194979).

Claim 21, Richards et al discloses producing a random sequence from the code generator. (Fig. 3, label code generator and Col. 7, lines 40-45.) Richards et al fails to disclose all the recited limitations.

Richards et al discloses a selectable code generated by the code generator, but fails to disclose the controller performs such functionality. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate such a command at the controller based on design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 23-29 are allowed of this prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINDA WONG whose telephone number is (571)272-6044. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on (571) 272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Linda Wong
12/20/2009

/David C. Payne/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611